UG-5/94
hep-th/9406131

Observations on the Topological Structure in 2d Gravity Coupled to Minimal Matter

arXiv:hep-th/9406131v2 19 Oct 1994

Pablo M. Llatas[1]

and

Shibaji Roy[2]

Institute for Theoretical Physics
Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen
The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

By using a bosonization we uncover the topological gravity structure of Labastida, Pernici and Witten in ordinary $2d$ gravity coupled to (p, q) minimal models. We study the cohomology class associated with the fermionic charge of the topological gravity which is shown to be isomorphic to that of the total $BRST$ charge. One of the ground ring generators of $c_M < 1$ string theory is found to be in the equivariant cohomology of this fermionic charge.

[1] e-mail address: Llatas@th.rug.nl
[2] e-mail address: Roy@th.rug.nl

It is by now fairly well understood [1,2] that $(q-1)$ (where $q \geq 2$) matrix model at various multicritical points is equivalent to $2d$ topological gravity coupled to twisted $N=2$ superconformal matter field theory [3,4] with central charge $c^{N=2} = \frac{3(q-2)}{q}$. In order to understand the relations between these theories and ordinary $2d$ gravity coupled to (p,q) minimal models, it is desirable to understand the topological structure of the latter. In the context of one-matrix model, Distler [5] has shown that the multicritical points can be reached from the base topological field theory by using marginal perturbation. In this case the basic topological theory is the $2d$ topological gravity of Labastida, Pernici and Witten [6]. $2d$ topological gravity in the formulation of Distler has been understood as $c_M = -2$ minimal matter or $(1,2)$ minimal model coupled to Liouville gravity. Another formulation of topological gravity has been described in ref. [7,8]. More recently, a series of topological structures with varying central charges have been revealed [9-11] in $2d$ gravity coupled to (p,q) minimal matter.

In this paper, we describe another topological structure namely, the topological gravity structure of Labastida, Pernici and Witten in ordinary $2d$ gravity coupled to all (p,q) minimal models. We use a specific bosonization (depending on (p,q)) of the Liouville and matter fields of the $c_M < 1$ string theory in terms of the bosonic fields $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ of the topological gravity. Under this bosonization the energy-momentum tensor of $c_M < 1$ string theory reduces to that of the topological gravity. Also, for $p=1$ and $q=2$, our bosonization reduces to that found by Distler [5]. In analogy with topological gravity, we show that there is a twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra with central charge $c^{N=2} = -9$ in $2d$ gravity coupled to (p,q) minimal matter. By using a similarity transformation we show that the cohomology class associated with the total $BRST$ charge is isomorphic to that of the fermionic charge Q_s of the topological gravity. We study the cohomology class associated with this fermionic charge. In particular, we find that one of the ground ring generators [12,13] can be expressed in a local way in terms of the fields of the topological gravity, while the other can not. We find them both in the equivariant cohomology of Q_s.

The total energy-momentum tensor of (p,q) minimal model coupled to $2d$ gravity (where $gcd\ (p,q) = 1$) is

$$T(z) = T_M(z) + T_L(z) + T^{gh}(z) \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_M(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} : \partial\phi_M(z)\partial\phi_M(z) : + iQ_M\partial^2\phi_M(z) \\ T_L(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} : \partial\phi_L(z)\partial\phi_L(z) : + iQ_L\partial^2\phi_L(z) \\ T^{gh}(z) &= -2 : b(z)\partial c(z) : - : \partial b(z)c(z) : \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

are the energy-momentum tensors of the matter, Liouville and the ghost sectors with $\phi_M(z)$, $\phi_L(z)$, the matter and Liouville fields and $(b(z), c(z))$, the reparametrization ghost system having conformal weights 2 and -1 respectively. $2Q_M$ and $2Q_L$ are the background charges of the matter and Liouville sector. Since the total central charge of the combined matter-Liouville system is 26, they satisfy $Q_M^2 + Q_L^2 = -2$, where the basic OPE's are given as $< \phi_M(z)\phi_M(w) >=< \phi_L(z)\phi_L(w) >= -\ln(z-w)$ and $< b(z)c(w) >= \frac{1}{(z-w)}$. For any $\lambda > 0$, the background charges can be parametrized as,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_L &= i(\lambda + \frac{1}{2\lambda}) \\ Q_M &= (\frac{1}{2\lambda} - \lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We now introduce two more conformal fields, $: e^{\pm i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} :$ with conformal weight ∓ 1 with respect to (1). Note that for (p, q) minimal model $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{q}{2p}}$ and also, that the energy-momentum tensor (1) has the symmetries $\lambda \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2\lambda}$, $\phi_M(z) \leftrightarrow -\phi_M(z)$ and $\lambda \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2i\lambda}$, $\phi_M(z) \leftrightarrow \phi_L(z)$. Let us introduce two bosonic fields with conformal weight -1 and 2, defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(z) &=: e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : \\ \beta(z) &= \frac{1}{2} : \left[(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda})\partial\phi_L(z) + i(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda})\partial\phi_M(z)\right] e^{-i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

They satisfy the following non-vanishing basic OPE's:

$$\beta(z)\gamma(w) = -\gamma(z)\beta(w) \sim \frac{1}{(z-w)} \tag{5}$$

In terms of these fields, the energy-momentum tensor (1) can be written as:

$$T(z) = 2 : \beta(z)\partial\gamma(z) : + : \gamma(z)\partial\beta(z) : -2 : b(z)\partial c(z) : - : \partial b(z)c(z) : \tag{6}$$

The total central charge associated with (6) is zero, since the bosonic $(\beta(z), \gamma(z))$ part contributes $+26$ and the fermionic $(b(z), c(z))$ part contributes -26 to the central charge. The energy-momentum tensor (6) can be derived from the topological gravity action considered by Labastida, Pernici and Witten [6]. We also note that $\gamma(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ reduce precisely to the form of bosonization considered by Distler [5] for $\lambda = 1$, *i.e.*, for $(1, 2)$ model coupled to $2d$ gravity.

In another formulation of topological gravity [7], an auxiliary Liouville sector was considered apart from the $(b(z), c(z), \beta(z), \gamma(z))$ sector. But since the Liouville sector, as it is mentioned there, does not arise from any conformal anomaly, it is not necessary to include them. In that context, it was found that the topological gravity contains a twisted $N = 2$ superconformal algebra. In analogy, we also find a topological conformal algebra with $c^{N=2} = -9$ in $2d$ gravity coupled to (p, q) minimal model. In fact, the generator (6) along with

$$Q_s(z) = b(z)\gamma(z) \tag{7a}$$

$$J(z) =: c(z)b(z) : +2 : \beta(z)\gamma(z) : \tag{7b}$$

$$G(z) = c(z)\partial\beta(z) + 2\partial c(z)\beta(z) \tag{7c}$$

satisfy the following twisted $N = 2$ superconformal algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(z)T(w) &\sim \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial T(w)}{(z-w)} \\
T(z)Q_s(w) &\sim \frac{Q_s(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial Q_s(w)}{(z-w)} \\
T(z)G(w) &\sim \frac{2G(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial G(w)}{(z-w)} \\
T(z)J(w) &\sim \frac{3}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{J(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial J(w)}{(z-w)} \\
J(z)Q_s(w) &\sim \frac{Q_s(w)}{(z-w)} \\
J(z)G(w) &\sim \frac{-G(w)}{(z-w)} \\
J(z)J(w) &\sim \frac{-3}{(z-w)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_s(z)Q_s(w) &\sim 0 \\
Q_s(z)G(w) &\sim \frac{-3}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{J(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{T(w)}{(z-w)} \\
G(z)G(w) &\sim 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

Here, $Q_s(z)$ is the fermionic or supersymmetry current and has conformal weight 1 and ghost number 1 with respect to the ghost number current (7b). Note that with respect to (7b), $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ have ghost numbers -1, $+1$, -2 and $+2$ respectively. The Virasoro $BRST$ charge is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_v &= \oint dz : c(z)\left(T_M(z) + T_L(z) + \frac{1}{2}T^{gh}(z)\right) : \\
&= \oint dz : c(z)\Big(2\beta(z)\partial\gamma(z) + \partial\beta(z)\gamma(z) - b(z)\partial c(z)\Big) :
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

It has been argued in ref. [14] that the total $BRST$ charge in the topological gravity is not just Q_v, but $(Q_s + Q_v)$[1]. It is easy to check that Q_s and Q_v anticommute. We note also that the cohomology class associated with $(Q_s + Q_v)$ is isomorphic to that of Q_s. In fact, there exists a homotopy operator U:

$$
U =: e^{-\frac{1}{2}\oint dz c(z)G(z)} : \tag{10}
$$

(where $G(z)$ is given in (7c)) by which $(Q_s + Q_v)$ is related to Q_s by a similarity transformation, namely,

$$
U(Q_s + Q_v)U^{-1} = Q_s \tag{11}
$$

This type of transformation was used in the context of topological strings in ref. [15]. One can also verify that, under the similarity transformation (11), the fields $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ transform as:

$$
Ub(z)U^{-1} = b(z) + G(z) \tag{12a}
$$

$$
Uc(z)U^{-1} = c(z) \tag{12b}
$$

$$
U\beta(z)U^{-1} = \beta(z) \tag{12c}
$$

$$
U\gamma(z)U^{-1} = \gamma(z) - c(z)\partial c(z) \tag{12d}
$$

[1] This is also implicit in the gauge transformations (Eqs.(4.5),(5.1)-(5.3)) of ref.[6].

By making use of our knowledge about the cohomology class of the $BRST$ charge for (p,q) minimal model coupled to gravity, we now study the cohomology class of Q_s. In particular, we look at the ground ring generators of $c_M < 1$ string theory. These are ghost number zero and conformal weight zero operators and have the form:

$$x(z) =: \left[b(z)c(z) - \frac{1}{2\lambda}(i\partial\phi_M(z) - \partial\phi_L(z))\right] e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : \tag{13a}$$

$$y(z) =: \left[b(z)c(z) + \lambda(i\partial\phi_M(z) + \partial\phi_L(z))\right] e^{-\frac{i}{2\lambda}(\phi_M(z) + i\phi_L(z))} : \tag{13b}$$

Note that under the symmetries

$$\lambda \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2\lambda}, \qquad \phi_M(z) \leftrightarrow -\phi_M(z) \tag{14a}$$

and

$$\lambda \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2i\lambda}, \qquad \phi_M(z) \leftrightarrow \phi_L(z) \tag{14b}$$

(these are symmetries of the energy-momentum tensor (1) as well as the BRST charge Q_v (9)), the ground ring generators $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ interchange their roles. For the symmetry (14a), p and q get interchanged. It is easy to check that both, $x(z)$ and $y(z)$, are in the cohomology of $(Q_s + Q_v)$. Also, under the similarity transformation generated by (10) we find that both $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ remain invariant, namely,

$$Ux(z)U^{-1} = x(z), \qquad\qquad Uy(z)U^{-1} = y(z) \tag{15}$$

In other words, both $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ are in the cohomology of Q_s. In the case of the field $x(z)$, this fact can be more easily seen by noticing that this ground ring generator can be expressed in terms of the fields in the topological gravity, *i.e.*, $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$, and then using the equations (12a-12d). In order to do that we here note the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} :\gamma^2(z)\beta(z): &=: \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\lambda} + 3\lambda)\partial\phi_L(z) + \frac{i}{2}(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 3\lambda)\partial\phi_M(z)\right] e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : \\ \partial\gamma(z) &=: \left[\lambda\partial\phi_L(z) + i\lambda\partial\phi_M(z)\right] e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Using (15) we can express $: \partial\phi_L(z) e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} :$ and $: \partial\phi_M(z) e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} :$ in terms of $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} : \partial\phi_L(z) e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : &= -\lambda : \gamma^2(z)\beta(z) : + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 3\lambda)\partial\gamma(z) \\ : \partial\phi_M(z) e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : &= -i\lambda : \gamma^2(z)\beta(z) : - \frac{i}{2}(\frac{1}{\lambda} + 3\lambda)\partial\gamma(z) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Substituting (17) in (13a) we find:

$$x(z) =: b(z)c(z)\gamma(z) : - : \gamma^2(z)\beta(z) : - \frac{3}{2}\partial\gamma(z) \tag{18}$$

which is independent of λ. It should be pointed out here that the physical operators in the topological gravity are expressed as $\gamma_0^n \sim (\partial\gamma)^n$ [7]. The ground ring generator $x(z)$ in (18) differs from $\partial\gamma$ by Q_s-exact terms, since $: b(z)c(z)\gamma(z) - \gamma^2(z)\beta(z) :=$ $\{Q_s, - : c(z)\gamma(z)\beta(z) :\}$. It is interesting to note the similarity between (18) and the local Lorentz ghost expression (3.12) in ref. [7].

On the other hand, we also find that the other ground ring generator $y(z)$ can not be expressed locally in terms of $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ of the topological gravity. Then, to verify equation (15) for $y(z)$, one has to use the string representation of $G(z)$ in the homotopy operator (10) (*i.e.*, the field $\beta(z)$ in (7c) should be expressed as given in (4)) in order to verify (15).

Another interesting point to note here is that there exists another bosonization (4) using the symmetries mentioned in (14a) and (14b). Since in this case $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ interchange their roles, with that bosonization $y(z)$ can be expressed in terms of $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ and not $x(z)$. In fact, in general, the statements true for $x(z)$ in one bosonization will be valid for $y(z)$ in the other bosonization. We would also like to point out that in $c_M < 1$ string theory, the appearance of two ground ring generators x and y in a symmetric way can be attributed to the symmetry observed in (14). But in the topological gravity representation, the fermionic charge Q_s takes the place of the BRST charge of $c_M < 1$ string theory. Since Q_s does not respect this symmetry (14), it is perhaps not surprising that in one bosonization both x and y can not be expressed in terms of $(b(z), c(z), \beta(z), \gamma(z))$.

Let us study the ground ring generators $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ in more detail. First, we note that even though both the ground ring generators are in the cohomology of Q_s, both are trivial in the sense that they are Q_s exact. To be precise, we find:

$$x(z) = \{Q_s, \Lambda_x(z)\} \tag{19a}$$

$$y(z) = \{Q_s, \Lambda_y(z)\} \tag{19b}$$

where

$$Q_s = \oint dz : b(z) e^{i\lambda(\phi_M(z) - i\phi_L(z))} : \tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_x(z) = &(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - \lambda)c(z)\partial\phi_L(z) - i(\frac{1}{2\lambda} + \lambda)c(z)\partial\phi_M(z) \\ &+ k\big[\partial c(z) - \lambda c(z)\partial\phi_L(z) - i\lambda c(z)\partial\phi_M(z)\big] \\ \equiv &(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - \lambda)c(z)\partial\phi_L(z) - i(\frac{1}{2\lambda} + \lambda)c(z)\partial\phi_M(z) + k\psi(z)\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\Lambda_y(z) =: c(z) e^{-i(\lambda + \frac{1}{2\lambda})\phi_M(z) - (\lambda - \frac{1}{2\lambda})\phi_L(z)} : \tag{22}$$

Here, k is an arbitrary constant and we have defined $\psi(z) \equiv \partial c(z) - \lambda c(z)\partial\phi_L(z) - i\lambda c(z)\partial\phi_M(z)$.

In topological gauge theories it is usual to consider the equivariant cohomology [16-18], otherwise the theories become empty. This means that we only allow states in the Hilbert space which are annihilated by the antighost zero-mode b_0. Because of the similarity transformation (12a), the new equivariance condition would be $(b_0 + G_0)|state >= 0$. $(b_0 + G_0)$ will act on an operator $\mathcal{O}$ as,

$$\oint dz\ z(b(z) + G(z))\mathcal{O}(0) \tag{23}$$

Using (23) we find:

$$(b_0 + G_0)\Lambda_x(0) = k \tag{24}$$

and

$$(b_0 + G_0)\Lambda_y(0) = 0 \tag{25}$$

This shows that the ground ring generator $y(z)$ is trivial even in the equivariant cohomology of Q_s and $x(z)$ might seem non-trivial when $k \neq 0$. But, it is easy to check that Q_s acts trivially on $\psi(z)$, since:

$$\psi(z) = [Q_v,\ -\lambda(\phi_L(z) + i\phi_M(z))] \tag{26a}$$

and

$$[Q_s,\ \lambda(\phi_L(z) + i\phi_M(z))] = 0 \tag{26b}$$

Note that the operator $\psi(z)$ is not Q_s-exact and therefore, $\psi(z)$ is a non-trivial physical state in the topological gravity. It is clear that this operator can not be expressed locally in terms of $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$. $\psi(z)$ is the analog of the operator '$a(z)$' discussed in ref. [19]. We just like to emphasize that even when $k \neq 0$, the ground ring generator $x(z)$ is trivial in the equivariant cohomology as long as $\Lambda_x(z)$ can be split into the form as given in (21). This will no longer be possible if we try to express $\Lambda_x(z)$ in terms of $b(z)$, $c(z)$, $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$. We find that, since

$$:\gamma(z)\beta(z): = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda})\partial\phi_L(z) - \frac{i}{2}(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda})\partial\phi_M(z) \tag{27}$$

only for $k = -\frac{3}{2}$ one has

$$\Lambda_x(z) = -\frac{3}{2}\partial c(z) - :c(z)\gamma(z)\beta(z): \tag{28}$$

Again, we note the similarity between (28) and the anticommuting local Lorentz ghost expression in the equation (3.3) in ref. [7]. So, in the topological gravity representation, one can not split $\Lambda_x(z)$ as in (21) and, since $k \neq 0$, we will find the ground ring generator $x(z)$ in the equivariant cohomology of Q_s.

The other ground ring generator $y(z)$ on the usual $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ invariant vacuum is, as noted before, trivial on the equivariant cohomology. We, however, find it non-trivial on a "picture-changed" [20] vacuum. A vacuum with picture charge q_p is defined as:

$$|q_p> = \lim_{z\to 0} : e^{q_p[\frac{i}{2}(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda})\phi_M(z) + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda})\phi_L(z)]} : |0> \tag{29}$$

where $|0>$ is the $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ invariant vacuum. It is easy to check that $|q_p>$ is invariant under Q_s for $q_p \leq 0$. In terms of modes, $|q_p>$ satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} b_n|q_p> &= 0 \qquad n \geq -1 \\ c_n|q_p> &= 0 \qquad n \geq 2 \\ \beta_n|q_p> &= 0 \qquad n \geq -1 - q_p \\ \gamma_n|q_p> &= 0 \qquad n \geq 2 + q_p \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We would like to mention that the ground ring generator $x(z)$ is well-defined on $|q_p>$ as long as q_p is an integer, but for $q_p < 0$, $x(z)$ is trivial on $|q_p>$. For the other ground ring generator $y(z)$, it is well-defined only when q_p is even and if we want to have a Q_s invariant vacuum, q_p has to be negative. In fact, for all $q_p < -2$, $y(z)$ is trivial on $|q_p>$. So, $y(z)$ is non-trivial only in the '-2' picture. It is not difficult to compute $y(z)$ in this picture, and has the form:

$$y(z)|_{q_p=-2} =: 2\ e^{iQ_M\phi_M(z)+iQ_L\phi_L(z)}\ : \tag{31}$$

where Q_M and Q_L are as given in (3). But, again, we find that $y(z)|_{q_p=-2}$ is Q_s-exact. In other words,

$$y(z)|_{q_p=-2} = \{Q_s, \Lambda_y(z)|_{q_p=-2}\} \tag{32}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_y(z)|_{q_p=-2} =: \Big[(2-\lambda(\alpha_1+i\alpha_2))\partial c(z) + \alpha_1 c(z)\partial\phi_L(z) + \alpha_2 c(z)\partial\phi_M(z)\Big] \\ \times\ e^{i(\frac{1}{2\lambda}-2\lambda)\phi_M(z)-(\frac{1}{2\lambda}+2\lambda)\phi_L(z)}\ : \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

with α_1 and α_2 some arbitrary constants. Now, $\Lambda_y(z)|_{q_p=-2}$ is no-longer annihilated by $(b_0 + G_0)$. This clearly indicates that $y(z)$ is also in the equivariant cohomology but in $q_p = -2$ picture. However, as we have pointed out above, $y(z)$ can not be expressed in terms of the fields $\beta(z)$, $\gamma(z)$, $b(z)$ and $c(z)$ and, in this sense, the significance of $y(z)$ in the context of topological gravity remains unclear.

Finally, we would like to mention here, that one can use the topological descent equations to obtain the one-form physical operators from the zero-form operators as [19],

$$\Omega^{(1)}(0) = \left[\oint dz G(z), \Omega^{(0)}(0)\right] \tag{34}$$

where $G(z)$ is as given in (7c). In particular, we find for $x(z)$, $y(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ the corresponding one-forms are

$$\Omega_x^{(1)}(z) =: c(z)\left(T_M(z)+T_L(z)+\frac{1}{2}T^{gh}(z)\right): +(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\lambda)\partial(c(z)\partial\phi_L(z)) - i(\frac{1}{\lambda}-\lambda)\partial(c(z)\partial\phi_M(z)) - \frac{3}{2}\partial^2 c(z) \tag{35a}$$

$$\Omega_y^{(1)}(z) =: \partial\left(c(z)\ e^{-i(\lambda+\frac{1}{2\lambda})\phi_M(z)-(\lambda-\frac{1}{2\lambda})\phi_L(z)}\right): \tag{35b}$$

$$\Omega_\psi^{(1)}(z) =: \partial\left(c(z)\partial c(z)\ e^{-i\lambda(\phi_M(z)-i\phi_L(z))}\right): \tag{35c}$$

It is quite intriguing to note that $\Omega_x^{(1)}(z)$ is precisely the modified BRST current used in equation (8) of ref. [11] for $a_3=-\frac{3}{2}$.

From the above analysis, we conclude, that although any (p,q) minimal model coupled to $2d$ gravity has topological gravity representation, it is not clear how to recover the full spectrum of $c_M<1$ string theory in this representation. The reason for this may be traced back to the symmetry charge (Q_s+Q_v) (not just Q_v, the string BRST charge) associated with the topological gravity of ref.[6]. Since the cohomology class of (Q_s+Q_v) is different from the cohomology class of Q_v itself, it is in a sense natural that we do not get the whole spectrum of $c_M<1$ string theory in the topological gravity representation. Interestingly, we have noticed that in the gauge fixed form of the topological gravity formulation of ref.[6], there exists a twisted $N=2$ superconformal algebra where the topological charge is precisely the BRST charge of $c_M<1$ string theory. How this information would help us to recover the full spectrum of $c_M<1$ string theory is not clear at this moment. Recently, however, we have seen that $c_M<1$ string theory can be regarded as a constrained topological sigma model [21] in analogy with the similar result for $c_M=1$ string theory found in ref.[22] and recovered the spectrum of $c_M<1$ string theory.

To conclude, we have shown the presence of a new topological algebra other than the one found in ref. [10] in ordinary $2d$ gravity coupled to (p,q) minimal model. This algebra was known in the topological gravity formulation of Labastida, Pernici and Witten. This, therefore, reveals a topological gravity structure in general $c_M<1$ string theory. We have also studied the cohomology class associated with the topological charge Q_s using the knowledge of the cohomology class associated with the Virasoro $BRST$ charge. In

particular, we have shown that one of the ground ring generators $x(z)$ does not belong to the equivariant cohomology of Q_s in the string representation, but belong to this cohomology in the topological gravity representation. This kind of ambiguity is not present in the other ground ring generator $y(z)$. In fact, for $y(z)$, we found that it is trivial in the equivariant cohomology if we consider it in the representation $q_p = 0$. But for $q_p = -2$, it is non-trivial. We also noted that all the observations made for $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ are only true for the particular bosonization (4). In the other bosonization (14), $x(z)$ and $y(z)$ will be interchanged. We, however, like to mention here that we do not get the usual restrictions [13] on the ground ring generators of the form $x^{p-1} = y^{q-1} = 0$, which were imposed in $c_M < 1$ string theory from the requirements that the matter momenta of the physical states should lie inside the Kac-table. To understand how to obtain the tachyons as well as the higher ghost number operators [23,24] of $c_M < 1$ string cohomology in the topological gravity formulation we have obtained, requires further study in this direction.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank E. Bergshoeff, H. J. Boonstra, J. M. F. Labastida, A. V. Ramallo and M. de Roo for discussions at various stages of the work. We also thank K. Thielemans for providing us his latest version of the Mathematica package OPEconf and ref.[25] which was used in some OPE computations. The work of S. R. was performed as part of the research program of the "Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie" (FOM). The work of P. M. Ll. is supported by the "Human Capital and Mobility Program" of the European Community.

References:

1. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B314 (1990) 281; Surv. Diff. Geom. 1 (1991) 243.
2. K. Li, Nucl. Phys. B354 (1991) 711,725.
3. E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 118 (1988) 411; Nucl. Phys. B340 (1990) 281.
4. T. Eguchi and S. -K. Yang, Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1990) 1693.
5. J. Distler, Nucl. Phys. B342 (1990) 523.
6. J.M.F. Labastida, M. Pernici and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B310 (1988) 611.
7. E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B348 (1991) 457.
8. R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Preprint PUPT-1217, IASSNS-HEP-90/80 (1990).
9. B. Gato-Rivera and A. Semikhatov, Phys. Lett. B288 (1992) 295.
10. M. Bershadsky, W. Lerche, D. Nemeschansky and N.P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B401 (1993) 304.
11. S. Panda and S. Roy, Phys. Lett. B317 (1993) 533.
12. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B373 (1992) 187.
13. D. Kutasov, E. Martinec and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B276 (1992) 437.
14. J. Distler and P. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 1955.
15. T. Eguchi, H. Kanno, Y. Yamada and S. -K. Yang, Phys. Lett. B305 (1993) 235.
16. E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 353.
17. S. Ouvry, R. Stora and P. van Baal, Phys. Lett. B220 (1989) 159.
18. J. Distler and P. Nelson, Comm. Math. Phys. 138 (1991) 273; Nucl. Phys. B366 (1991) 255.
19. E. Witten and B. Zwiebach, Nucl. Phys. B377 (1992) 55.
20. D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B271 (1986) 93.
21. P. M. Llatas and S. Roy, preprint to appear.
22. H. Ishikawa and M. Kato, preprint UT-Komaba/93-7, hep-th/9304039 (revised Dec. '93).
23. H. Kanno and M.H. Sarmadi, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A9 (1994), 39.
24. S. Panda and S. Roy, Phys. Lett. B306 (1993) 252.
25. K. Thielemans, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. C2 (1991) 787.